

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 TASHEDA J. LEONARD-HAYSELDEN,  
12 et al.,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 UC SAN DIEGO,

16 Defendant.  
17

No. 2:22-CV-2211-DJC-DMC

ORDER

18 Plaintiffs, who are proceeding pro se, bring this civil action. For cases such as  
19 this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the federal venue statute requires that the  
20 action be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants  
21 reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or  
22 omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of  
23 the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no  
24 district in which the action may otherwise be brought. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Here, the  
25 claim(s) arose in San Diego County, which is within the boundaries of the United States District  
26 Court for the Southern District of California. Therefore, the Court finds that this action most  
27 appropriately proceeds in that district. In the interest of justice, the Court will transfer this case.  
28 See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

1                   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the  
2 United States District Court for the Southern District of California.

3  
4 Dated: May 4, 2023

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Dennis M. Cota', written over a horizontal line.

DENNIS M. COTA  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE